

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,884	08/21/2003	Donald T. Cameron	20003.0074	6865
23517	7590 11/18/2004		EXAM	INER
SWIDLER BERLIN SHEREFF FRIEDMAN, LLP			ELLINGTON, ALANDRA	
3000 K STRE	ET, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	N, DC 20007		2855	

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·			- W
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•
Advisory Action	10/644,884	CAMERON, DONAL	D T.
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alandra Ellington	2855	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addi	ress
THE REPLY FILED 12 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in a stimely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	to a tion in
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing dat b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TIE date on which the petition under 37 CF	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appro	on. See MPEP opriate extension
see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period see under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (	the shortened statutory period for reply ice later than three months after the mai	originally set in the final (	Office action; or
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF</li> </ol>			
<ol><li>The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b</li></ol>	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	below);		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims	3.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s):		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	l be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		idered but does NOT	Γ place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	o issues which were	enewly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ app	oroved or b)  disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9.⊠ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). <u>1</u>	<u>1,2</u> .	
0. ☐ Other:	hi	112	
		AD LEPKOWITZ	
	SUPERVISO	RY PATENT EXAMINE .OGY CENTER 2800	R

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Due to the relative definition of "opposing relation", the Examiner believes that Muldoon (5,421,098) discloses two members (73,76) that are on two different sides and appears to be opposite one another.